arXiv:1302.0684v1 [hep-th] 4 Feb 2013

On quantum deformations of (anti-)de Sitter algebras in (2+1) dimensions

Ángel Ballesteros[1], Francisco J. Herranz[1] and Fabio Musso[2]

[1] Departamento de Física, Universidad de Burgos, 09001 Burgos, Spain

[2] Dipartimento di Fisica 'Edoardo Amaldi', Universitá degli Studi di Roma Tre, 00146 Roma, Italy

E-mail: angelb@ubu.es, fjherranz@ubu.es, musso@fis.uniroma3.it

Abstract

Quantum deformations of (anti-)de Sitter (A)dS algebras in (2+1) dimensions are revisited, and several features of these quantum structures are reviewed. In particular, the classification problem of (2+1) (A)dS Lie bialgebras is presented and the associated noncommutative quantum (A)dS spaces are also analysed. Moreover, the flat limit (or vanishing cosmological constant) of all these structures leading to (2+1) quantum Poincaré algebras and groups is simultaneously given by considering the cosmological constant as an explicit Lie algebra parameter in the (A)dS algebras. By making use of this classification, a three-parameter generalization of the κ-deformation for the (2+1) (A)dS algebras and quantum spacetimes is given. Finally, the same problem is studied in (3+1) dimensions, where a two-parameter generalization of the κ-(A)dS deformation that preserves the space isotropy is found.

1 Introduction

Quantum groups and algebras were introduced in the eighties as Hopf algebra deformations of Lie groups and algebras, respectively (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] and references therein). Since then, the construction of quantum deformations of the kinematical groups of spacetimes opened the possibility of introducing consistent proposals of the mathematical descriptions of the 'quantum' spacetime that is commonly assumed to arise in quantum gravity theories when the Planck energy is approached (see [8]). Essentially, this idea has been worked out so far in the literature under two dual approaches:

- *Quantum kinematical algebras*: they provide quantum deformations of the symmetry algebras of spacetimes (see, for instance, [9, 10, 11]) in which the 'quantum' deformation parameter would be a second invariant scale related with the Planck energy (or length) and in which q-deformed Casimir operators generate the kind of modified dispersion relations that are expected to arise in the Planck regime. This viewpoint gave rise to the so-called 'double special relativity' theories [12, 13, 14, 15, 16].
- *Quantum kinematical groups*: they are the Hopf algebra dual of the previous quantum algebras [4, 5, 17] and provide a self-consistent mathematical foundation of the so-called noncommutative spacetimes, in which the noncommutativity of the spacetime coordinates is generated by a non-vanishing quantum deformation parameter that should describe Planck scale effects. As a consequence, uncertainty relations between these noncommuting coordinate operators arise naturally, and the intrinsic Planck scale 'fuzziness', 'discretization' or 'quantization' of the spacetime itself can be mathematically described (see [18, 19, 20]).

At this point it is important to stress that for a given Lie group or algebra there exist (many) different Hopf algebra deformations. In fact, quantum algebras are in correspondence with Lie bialgebra structures (and quantum groups with Poisson–Lie structures), and the explicit classification problem for Lie bialgebras (or, equivalently, for Poisson–Lie groups) is by no means a simple problem from a computational viewpoint. Therefore, it seems natural that some criteria should be taken into account in order to select the type of quantum deformation that could be suitable in the abovementioned specific physical settings.

In the particular case of $(2+1)$ quantum gravity, it was (heuristically) stated in [21] that the perturbations of the vacuum state of a Chern–Simons quantum gravity theory with cosmological constant Λ are invariant under transformations that close a certain quantum deformation of the (A)dS algebra. In fact, the low energy regime/zero-curvature limit of this algebra was found to be the known κ-Poincaré quantum algebra [9, 22, 23, 24].

Also, it is well known that Poisson–Lie (PL) structures on the isometry groups of (2+1) spaces with constant curvature seem to play a relevant role as phase spaces in Chern–Simons theory. Moreover, in the Lorentzian case, these PL structures (given by certain classical r-matrices that have to be 'compatible' with the Chern-Simons pairings) are just the classical counterparts of certain quantum deformations of (A)dS and Poincaré groups in (2+1) dimensions (see [25, 26, 27, 28] and references therein). These PL groups are in one-to-one correspondence with certain three-dimensional Lie bialgebras and their associated Drinfel'd doubles, in such a way that an explicit connection between (2+1) Chern-Simons symmetries and Drinfel'd doubles coming from three-dimensional quantum deformations has been recently proposed [29]. In this setting the (2+1) (A)dS and Poincaré r-matrices associated to such Drinfel'd doubles are not the ones defining κ-deformations and, therefore, quantum groups corresponding to these (multiparametric) r-matrices seem to be physically interesting to construct and analyse.

The aim of this paper is to address the classification problem for the quantum deformations of the (2+1) (A)dS and Poincaré algebras by considering the three Lie algebras as particular cases of a one-parametric Lie algebra, the (2+1) AdS_ω algebra, in which $\omega = -\Lambda$. In this way, the $\omega \to 0$ limit will provide the Poincaré Lie bialgebra classification, and the sign of ω will distinguish between the AdS ($\omega > 0$) and dS ($\omega < 0$) cases. Moreover, we will show that the type of approach here presented makes feasible to tackle the same problem in (3+1) dimensions.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we will briefly recall the basics of quantum deformations and their classification in terms of their associated Lie bialgebras. In section 3 we will review the known results concerning the κ-deformation of the (2+1) AdS_ω algebra, including the full PL structure that provides the semiclassical (Poisson) counterpart of the noncommutative (2+1) AdS_ω spacetime, where the nonvanishing cosmological constant Λ generates nonlinear commutation relations among the quantum coordinates. In section 4 the most generic Lie bialgebra for the (2+1) AdS_ω algebra is computed, and this result gives a clear idea of the plethora of different quantum deformations that there exist. The possible generalizations of the κ-deformation are identified in section 5 by imposing on the generic deformation that the rotation J and time translation P_0 generators have to be primitive ones, a constraint derived from dimensionality arguments. In this way we find that two more parameters can be added to the κ-deformation, and we explicitly describe the corresponding first-order noncommutative spacetimes, which are generalizations of the κ-Minkowski spacetime. The same approach is used in section 6 in order to study the possible generalizations of κ-deformations in (3+1) dimensions. In this case we find two disjoint families of two-parametric deformations that preserve the primitive nature of the generators P_0 and J_3. Finally, we demonstrate that one of these generalized κ-deformations preserve space isotropy, since under some appropriate automorphism the symmetric role of the three rotation generators can be manifestly shown.

2 Quantum deformations and Lie bialgebras

2.1 Quantum algebras

Quantum algebras are Hopf algebra deformations of universal enveloping algebras. This means that we consider the algebra $U_z(g)$ of formal power series in the deformation parameter z and coefficients in the universal enveloping algebra $U(g)$ of a given Lie algebra g, and we endow it with a Hopf algebra structure by finding an algebra homomorphism called the coproduct map

$$\Delta_U : U_z(g) \longrightarrow U_z(g) \otimes U_z(g),$$

as well as its associated counit ϵ_U and antipode γ_U mappings.

Any quantum universal enveloping algebra can be thought of as a Hopf algebra deformation of $U(g)$ 'in the direction' of a certain Lie bialgebra (g, δ). Such a Lie bialgebra provides the first-order deformation (in z) of the coproduct

$$\Delta_U = \Delta_0 + \delta + o[z^2],$$

where $\Delta_0(X) = X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X$ is the primitive (nondeformed) coproduct and $\delta(X)$, the so-called cocommutator, will be linear in z. Therefore, a precise Lie bialgebra structure (g, δ)

will be associated to each possible quantum coproduct Δ_U, and the equivalence classes (under automorphisms) of Lie bialgebra structures on a given Lie algebra g will provide the chart of all possible quantum deformations of $U(g)$.

Complementarily, quantum groups (A, Δ_A) are just noncommutative algebras of functions defined as the dual Hopf algebras to the quantum algebras $(U_z(g), \Delta_U)$. More explicitly, if we denote by m_A and m_U the noncommutative products in A and $U_z(g)$, respectively, the duality between the Hopf algebras (A, m_A, Δ_A) and $(U_z(g), m_U, \Delta_U)$ is established through the existence of a canonical pairing $\langle \, , \, \rangle : A \times U_z(g) \to \mathbb{C}$ between them such that

$$\langle m_A(f \otimes g), a\rangle = \langle f \otimes g, \Delta_U(a)\rangle, \tag{1}$$
$$\langle \Delta_A(f), a \otimes b\rangle = \langle f, m_U(a \otimes b)\rangle, \tag{2}$$

where $a, b \in U_z(g)$; $f, g \in A$, and $\langle f \otimes g, a \otimes b\rangle = \langle f, a\rangle \, \langle g, b\rangle$.

It is important to stress that the duality relation (1) implies that the product in A is defined by the coproduct in $U_z(g)$, and conversely relation (2) implies that the coproduct in A is given by the product in $U_z(g)$. Since the first-order deformation of the coproduct Δ_U is defined by the Lie bialgebra map δ, the first-order noncommutativity for the quantum group A will be given by (the dual of) δ. Therefore, the Lie bialgebra structure associated to a given quantum algebra provides immediately the first-order information about the noncommutative algebra of quantum group coordinates. Moreover, the Lie bialgebra (g, δ) is in one-to-one correspondence with the PL structure whose quantization provides the full quantum group (A, Δ_A). As a consequence, the classification of all possible Lie bialgebra structures for g constitute the first and most relevant step for the analysis and explicit construction of its quantum algebra deformations.

2.2 Lie bialgebras

Let us summarize all the basic facts about Lie bialgebras that will be needed in the sequel. A Lie bialgebra (g, δ) is Lie algebra g with structure tensor c_{ij}^k

$$[X_i, X_j] = c_{ij}^k X_k, \tag{3}$$

that is endowed with a skewsymmetric cocommutator map

$$\delta : g \to g \otimes g$$

fulfilling the two following conditions:

- i) δ is a 1-cocycle, *i.e.*,

$$\delta([X, Y]) = [\delta(X), \, Y \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Y] + [X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X, \, \delta(Y)], \qquad \forall \, X, Y \in g.$$

- ii) The dual map $\delta^* : g^* \otimes g^* \to g^*$ is a Lie bracket on g^*.

Therefore any cocommutator δ will be of the form

$$\delta(X_i) = f_i^{jk} \, X_j \wedge X_k \,, \tag{4}$$

where f_i^{jk} is the structure tensor of the dual Lie algebra g^* defined by

$$[\hat{\xi}^j, \hat{\xi}^k] = f_i^{jk}\, \hat{\xi}^i\,, \tag{5}$$

where $\langle \hat{\xi}^j, X_k \rangle = \delta_k^j$. Therefore, a Lie bialgebra is a pair of 'matched' Lie algebras of the same dimension, since the 1-cocycle condition implies the following compatibility equations

$$f_k^{ab} c_{ij}^k = f_i^{ak} c_{kj}^b + f_i^{kb} c_{kj}^a + f_j^{ak} c_{ik}^b + f_j^{kb} c_{ik}^a. \tag{6}$$

As it could be expected, for some Lie bialgebras the 1-cocycle δ is a coboundary

$$\delta(X) = [X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X,\, r], \qquad \forall\, X \in g, \tag{7}$$

where r is a skewsymmetric element of $g \otimes g$ (the classical r-matrix)

$$r = r^{ab}\, X_a \wedge X_b\,,$$

that has to be a solution of the modified classical Yang–Baxter equation (mCYBE)

$$[X \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes X, [[r, r]]\,] = 0, \qquad \forall X \in g, \tag{8}$$

in which the Schouten bracket $[[r, r]]$ is defined as

$$[[r, r]] := [r_{12}, r_{13}] + [r_{12}, r_{23}] + [r_{13}, r_{23}], \tag{9}$$

where $r_{12} = r^{ab}\, X_a \otimes X_b \otimes 1, r_{13} = r^{ab}\, X_a \otimes 1 \otimes X_b, r_{23} = r^{ab}\, 1 \otimes X_a \otimes X_b$. Recall that $[[r, r]] = 0$ is just the classical Yang–Baxter equation (CYBE).

For semisimple Lie algebras all Lie bialgebra structures are coboundaries, and that is also the case for the Poincaré algebra in (2+1) and (3+1) dimensions. On the contrary, for solvable and nilpotent Lie algebras many of their Lie bialgebra structures are non-coboundaries (see [30, 31] and references therein).

2.3 Classification of Lie bialgebra structures on g

With the previous definitions in mind, the algorithm for the explicit computation and classification of all the possible Lie bialgebra structures for a given Lie algebra g (3) in the basis X_i can be sketched as follows:

- The most generic (pre)-cocommutator map is given in the form (4).
- The 1-cocycle condition (6) is imposed onto f_i^{jk}.
- Further, the (quadratic) condition that the dual map $\delta^* : g^* \otimes g^* \to g^*$ is a Lie bracket on g^* is imposed. Note that this constraint is nothing but the Jacobi identity for f_i^{jk}.
- Finally, the solutions for f_i^{jk} can be classified into equivalence classes under automorphisms of the Lie algebra g.

Note that since Lie bialgebra structures for (2+1) (A)dS and Poincaré algebras are known to be always coboundaries, this procedure is completely equivalent to the classification of constant classical r-matrices on these algebras.

3 The κ-deformation of the AdS$_\omega$ algebra

In this section we exemplify the theory of quantum deformations by recalling the main results concerning the so-called κ-deformation of the (2+1) (anti-)de Sitter and Poincaré algebras. We will use a unified approach to the three Lie algebras by making use of the six-dimensional Lie algebra AdS$_\omega$ which is given in terms of the generators $\{J, P_0, P_i, K_i\}$ $(i = 1, 2)$ (rotation, time translation, space translations and boosts) as

$$\begin{array}{lll} [J,P_i] = \epsilon_{ij}P_j, & [J,K_i] = \epsilon_{ij}K_j, & [J,P_0] = 0, \\ [P_i,K_j] = -\delta_{ij}P_0, & [P_0,K_i] = -P_i, & [K_1,K_2] = -J, \\ [P_0,P_i] = \omega K_i, & [P_1,P_2] = -\omega J, & \end{array}$$

where $i, j = 1, 2$, $\epsilon_{12} = 1$ and the parameter ω is just the constant sectional curvature of the corresponding classical spacetime (so this is related to the cosmological constant through $\omega = -\Lambda$). Therefore, these Lie brackets encompass:

- The AdS Lie algebra, $so(2, 2)$, when $\omega = +1/R^2 > 0$.
- The dS Lie algebra, $so(3, 1)$, when $\omega = -1/R^2 < 0$.
- The Poincaré Lie algebra, $iso(2, 1)$, when $\omega = 0$. Note that this case corresponds to the flat contraction obtained via the limit of the universe radius $R \to \infty$ connecting $so(2, 2) \to iso(2, 1) \leftarrow so(3, 1)$.

The two Casimir invariants of the AdS$_\omega$ algebra are given by

$$\mathcal{C} = P_0^2 - \mathbf{P}^2 + \omega(J^2 - \mathbf{K}^2), \qquad \mathcal{W} = -JP_0 + K_1P_2 - K_2P_1,$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ comes from the Killing–Cartan form and it is related to the energy of the particle, while $\mathcal{W}$ is the Pauli–Lubanski vector.

3.1 The Lie bialgebra of the κ-deformation

As we mentioned before, all Lie bialgebra structures for the (A)dS and Poincaré Lie algebras in (2+1) dimensions are coboundaries. This means that the Lie bialgebra is fully specified by a certain skewsymmetric solution of the mCYBE (or of the CYBE). In the case of the κ-deformation such a classical r-matrix reads

$$r = z(K_1 \wedge P_1 + K_2 \wedge P_2), \tag{10}$$

where the quantum deformation parameter z is related to the usual Planck energy scale κ through $z = 1/\kappa$. Once r is fixed, the cocommutator δ providing the first-order deformation of the coproduct is given by the coboundary relation (7), and turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta(P_0) = 0, \qquad \delta(J) = 0, \\ &\delta(P_i) = z(P_i \wedge P_0 - \omega\epsilon_{ij}K_j \wedge J), \\ &\delta(K_i) = z(K_i \wedge P_0 + \epsilon_{ij}P_j \wedge J). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

A ‘quantum group local coordinate’ $\hat{y}^i$ will be defined as dual to the generator Y_i through the Hopf algebra pairing $\langle \hat{y}^i, Y_j \rangle = \delta^i_j$. In particular, we will denote $\{\hat{\theta}, \hat{x}_\mu, \hat{\xi}_i\}$ the noncommutative coordinates which are dual to the generators $\{J, P_\mu, K_i\}$ ($\mu = 0,1,2$), respectively. Now, if we make use of the dual Lie algebra (5) induced by the first-order deformation (in z) of the coproduct given by δ (11), we get the first-order quantum group relations for the κ-deformation of the AdS$_\omega$ algebra, namely:

$$\begin{array}{llll} [\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_i] = -z\hat{x}_i, & [\hat{\theta}, \hat{x}_i] = z\epsilon_{ij}\hat{\xi}_j, & [\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2] = 0, & [\hat{\theta}, \hat{x}_0] = 0, \\ [\hat{\theta}, \hat{\xi}_i] = -z\omega\epsilon_{ij}\hat{x}_j, & [\hat{x}_0, \hat{\xi}_i] = -z\hat{\xi}_i, & [\hat{\xi}_1, \hat{\xi}_2] = 0, & [\hat{x}_i, \hat{\xi}_j] = 0. \end{array}$$

We realize that in these relations the ‘quantum’ time and space translation parameters close a non-Abelian subalgebra

$$[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_i] = -z\hat{x}_i, \qquad [\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2] = 0, \qquad i = 1,2, \tag{12}$$

that in the case of the quantum Poincaré group is known as the (2+1) κ-Minkowski noncommutative spacetime $\mathbf{M}_z^{2+1}$ [9, 22, 23, 24], since no higher order corrections have to be incorporated when the full quantum Poincaré group is constructed. Note that commutativity is always recovered in the limit $z \to 0$, *i.e.*, when the quantum deformation vanishes. It is also worth stressing that the first-order relations (12) do not depend on the curvature ω, so the three first-order (A)dS and Minkowskian noncommutative spacetimes coincide. As we shall see later, higher order corrections depending on ω will appear when the full quantum (A)dS groups are considered.

3.2 The quantum κ-AdS$_\omega$ algebra

The full (all orders in z) quantum universal enveloping algebra corresponding to the κ-deformation of AdS$_\omega$ was constructed for the first time in [10]. Its quantum coproduct reads (hereafter we will use the ρ parameter defined as $\omega = \rho^2$)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(P_0) &= P_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_0, \qquad \Delta(J) = J \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes J, \\ \Delta(P_i) &= P_i \otimes \mathrm{e}^{\frac{z}{2}P_0} \cosh(\tfrac{z}{2}\rho J) + \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{z}{2}P_0} \cosh(\tfrac{z}{2}\rho J) \otimes P_i \\ &\quad -\rho\, \epsilon_{ij} K_j \otimes \mathrm{e}^{\frac{z}{2}P_0} \sinh(\tfrac{z}{2}\rho J) + \rho\, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{z}{2}P_0} \sinh(\tfrac{z}{2}\rho J) \otimes \epsilon_{ij} K_j, \\ \Delta(K_i) &= K_i \otimes \mathrm{e}^{\frac{z}{2}P_0} \cosh(\tfrac{z}{2}\rho J) + \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{z}{2}P_0} \cosh(\tfrac{z}{2}\rho J) \otimes K_i \\ &\quad +\epsilon_{ij} P_j \otimes \mathrm{e}^{\frac{z}{2}P_0} \frac{\sinh(\frac{z}{2}\rho J)}{\rho} - \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{z}{2}P_0} \frac{\sinh(\frac{z}{2}\rho J)}{\rho} \otimes \epsilon_{ij} P_j, \end{aligned}$$

the compatible set of deformed commutation rules are

$$\begin{array}{lll} [J, P_i] = \epsilon_{ij} P_j, & [J, K_i] = \epsilon_{ij} K_j, & [J, P_0] = 0, \\ [P_i, K_j] = -\delta_{ij} \dfrac{\sinh(zP_0)}{z} \cosh(z\rho J), & & [P_0, K_i] = -P_i, \\ [K_1, K_2] = -\cosh(zP_0) \dfrac{\sinh(z\rho J)}{z\rho}, & & [P_0, P_i] = \omega K_i, \\ [P_1, P_2] = -\omega \cosh(zP_0) \dfrac{\sinh(z\rho J)}{z\rho}, & & \end{array}$$

and the deformed Casimir operators have the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_z &= 4\cos(z\rho) \left\{ \frac{\sinh^2(\frac{z}{2}P_0)}{z^2} \cosh^2\left(\tfrac{z}{2}\rho J\right) + \frac{\sinh^2(\frac{z}{2}\rho J)}{z^2} \cosh^2\left(\tfrac{z}{2}P_0\right) \right\} - \frac{\sin(z\rho)}{z\rho} \left(\mathbf{P}^2 + \omega \mathbf{K}^2\right), \\ \mathcal{W}_z &= -\cos(z\rho) \frac{\sinh(z\rho J)}{z\rho} \frac{\sinh(zP_0)}{z} + \frac{\sin(z\rho)}{z\rho} (K_1 P_2 - K_2 P_1). \end{aligned}$$

It is worth mentioning that this is exactly the quantum (A)dS algebra proposed in [21] as the symmetry algebra of the vacuum excitations in (2+1) quantum gravity. By construction, the limit $\omega \to 0$ of this quantum algebra is always well defined and leads to the well known (2+1) κ-Poincaré algebra. Note also that the physical dimensions of the quantum deformation parameter z are inherited from P_0, since $[z] = [P_0]^{-1}$. If $c = 1$, this means that z can be interpreted as a fundamental length parameter, which in the usual double special relativity theories is considered to be of the order of the Planck length l_p. Algebraically, this link between z and P_0 is directly related to the fact that P_0 and J remain nondeformed (primitive) at the level of the coproduct, which allows the deformation to contain formal power series of these two generators (see [32] for a dimensional analysis of the deformation parameters from the viewpoint of contraction theory).

3.3 The κ-AdS$_\omega$ Poisson–Lie group

The full κ-AdS$_\omega$ quantum group could be obtained by computing the Hopf algebra dual to the quantum κ-AdS$_\omega$ algebra that we have just introduced. This requires a lengthy and cumbersome computation, but many features of this quantum group can be extracted from its semiclassical limit, *i.e.*, the PL structure on the classical AdS$_\omega$ group that is in one-to-one correspondence with the Lie bialgebra that characterizes this quantum deformation.

The construction of the κ-AdS$_\omega$ PL group was fully performed in [33], where we refer to the interested reader for details. In particular, under the appropriate parametrization of the group, it is found that the local coordinate functions corresponding to the translation parameters close the following PL subalgebra

$$\{x_0, x_1\} = -z\,\frac{\tanh \rho x_1}{\rho \cosh^2 \rho x_2}, \qquad \{x_0, x_2\} = -z\,\frac{\tanh \rho x_2}{\rho}, \qquad \{x_1, x_2\} = 0,$$

whose quantization (in the usual 'quantum-mechanical' sense) would give rise to the full noncommutative κ-AdS$_\omega$ spacetime. Since $\{x_1, x_2\} = 0$, no ordering ambiguities appear in the quantization process, and the quantum (2+1) noncommutative AdS$_\omega$ spacetime reads

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_1] &= -z\,\frac{\tanh \rho \hat{x}_1}{\rho \cosh^2 \rho \hat{x}_2} = -\, z\hat{x}_1 + \tfrac{1}{3} z\omega \hat{x}_1^3 + z\omega \hat{x}_1 \hat{x}_2^2 + o(\omega^2),\\
[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_2] &= -z\,\frac{\tanh \rho \hat{x}_2}{\rho} = -\, z\hat{x}_2 + \tfrac{1}{3} z\omega \hat{x}_2^3 + o(\omega^2), \qquad [\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the κ-Minkowski space $\mathbf{M}_z^{2+1}$ is the first-order noncommutative spacetime for all the AdS$_\omega$ quantum groups. However, when the curvature is not zero ($\omega \neq 0$) higher order contributions appear, and the quantum (A)dS spacetimes turn out to be nonlinear noncommutative algebras. Note also that, in any case, (see [33] for the full expressions) the quantum spacetime coordinates $\{\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2\}$ close a subalgebra.

4 The generic AdS$_\omega$ deformation

The aim of the present section is to show that the κ-deformation is a very particular one-parametric choice amongst all the possible quantum deformations of the AdS$_\omega$ algebra. In the sequel we will present the chart of Lie bialgebra structures of the AdS$_\omega$ algebra, that can be obtained by taking into account that the Lie bialgebra structures of $so(2,2)$, $so(3,1)$ and the (2+1) Poincaré algebra are always coboundary structures. Therefore, they come from classical r-matrices, and the most general form for a constant classical r-matrix on AdS_ω is

$$\begin{aligned} r \;=\; & a_1 J\wedge P_1 + a_2 J\wedge K_1 + a_3 P_0\wedge P_1 + a_4 P_0\wedge K_1 + a_5 P_1\wedge K_1 + a_6 P_1\wedge K_2 \\ & + b_1 J\wedge P_2 + b_2 J\wedge K_2 + b_3 P_0\wedge P_2 + b_4 P_0\wedge K_2 + b_5 P_2\wedge K_2 + b_6 P_2\wedge K_1 \\ & + c_1 J\wedge P_0 + c_2 K_1\wedge K_2 + c_3 P_1\wedge P_2, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where we have initially 15 possible ‘deformation parameters’, that will have to fulfil the constraints coming form the mCYBE (8). In particular, the Schouten Bracket (9) for this generic r-matrix (13) reads

$$\begin{aligned} [[r,r]] = {} & (a_1a_3 + a_4b_3 + b_1b_3 - a_3b_4 - a_5c_3 - b_5c_3) \\ & \times(P_1\wedge K_1\wedge P_0 + P_1\wedge P_2\wedge J + \omega K_1\wedge K_2\wedge J + P_0\wedge P_2\wedge K_2) \\ & +(a_4^2 + a_2b_3 - a_1b_4 + a_6c_1 + b_6c_1 + \omega\, a_3^2 - a_5^2 - a_6b_6 - c_2c_3) \\ & \times(P_1\wedge P_2\wedge P_0 + \omega P_0\wedge K_1\wedge K_2 + \omega P_1\wedge K_1\wedge J + \omega P_2\wedge K_2\wedge J). \end{aligned}$$

We recall that non-standard/twist AdS_ω deformations will be obtained if $[[r,r]] = 0$ (*i.e.*, if their r-matrices are solutions of the CYBE).

Now, the mCYBE (8) leads to the following nonlinear constraints involving the 15 (real) Lie bialgebra parameters and the curvature ω:

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_1a_5 - a_4a_6 + a_6b_1 - a_1b_5 + a_4b_6 + b_1b_6 + a_3c_2 - \omega a_3c_3) = 0, \\ & (a_2a_3 - a_4b_4 + b_1b_4 + a_5b_6 + b_5b_6 + a_5c_1 - b_5c_1 - \omega a_3b_3) = 0, \\ & (-a_2a_5 - a_6b_2 + a_2b_5 - b_2b_6 + a_4c_2 + \omega a_3a_6 - \omega a_3b_6 - \omega a_4c_3) = 0, \\ & (-a_1a_5 + a_4a_6 - a_6b_1 - a_5b_4 + a_4c_1 + b_1c_1 + b_2c_3 + \omega a_3c_3) = 0, \\ & (a_2a_4 + a_2b_1 - a_1b_2 - a_5c_2 + \omega a_1a_3 - \omega a_5c_3) = \omega(a_1a_3 + a_4b_3 + b_1b_3 - a_3b_4 - a_5c_3 - b_5c_3), \\ & (a_1a_2 + b_1b_2 + a_2b_4 - a_6c_2 - c_1c_2 + \omega a_3b_1 - \omega a_6c_3 + \omega c_1c_3) = 0, \\ & (-a_2a_5 - b_2b_6 + b_2c_1 + a_4c_2 - b_1c_2 + \omega a_5b_3 - \omega a_3b_6 - \omega a_3c_1) = 0, \\ & (a_1a_6 - a_5b_1 - a_6b_4 + b_1b_5 + a_1b_6 + b_4b_6 + b_3c_2 - \omega b_3c_3) = 0, \\ & (a_1a_4 - a_5a_6 + b_2b_3 + a_4b_4 - a_6b_5 - a_5c_1 + b_5c_1 + \omega a_3b_3) = 0, \\ & (a_2a_6 - a_5b_2 + b_2b_5 + a_2b_6 - b_4c_2 - \omega a_6b_3 + \omega b_3b_6 + \omega b_4c_3) = 0, \\ & (-a_4b_5 + b_1b_5 + a_1b_6 + b_4b_6 + a_1c_1 - b_4c_1 + a_2c_3 - \omega b_3c_3) = 0, \\ & (-a_2b_1 + a_1b_2 - b_2b_4 + b_5c_2 - \omega b_1b_3 + \omega b_5c_3) \\ & \qquad\qquad = -\omega(a_1a_3 + a_4b_3 + b_1b_3 - a_3b_4 - a_5c_3 - b_5c_3), \\ & (a_1a_2 - a_4b_2 + b_1b_2 + b_6c_2 - c_1c_2 - \omega a_1b_3 + \omega b_6c_3 + \omega c_1c_3) = 0, \\ & (-a_2a_6 - b_2b_5 - a_2c_1 + a_1c_2 + b_4c_2 + \omega a_6b_3 - \omega a_3b_5 - \omega b_3c_1) = 0, \\ & (a_2a_4 + b_2b_4 - a_5c_2 - b_5c_2 + \omega a_4b_3 - \omega a_3b_4) = \omega(a_1a_3 + a_4b_3 + b_1b_3 - a_3b_4 - a_5c_3 - b_5c_3), \\ & a_1^2 + b_1^2 - a_3b_2 + a_2b_3 - a_5b_5 + a_6b_6 - a_6c_1 + b_6c_1 - \omega c_3^2 \\ & \qquad\qquad = (a_4^2 - a_5^2 + a_2b_3 - a_1b_4 - a_6b_6 + a_6c_1 + b_6c_1 - c_2c_3 + \omega a_3^2), \\ & (-a_2^2 - b_2^2 + c_2^2 - \omega a_4b_1 + \omega a_1b_4 + \omega a_5b_5 - \omega a_6b_6 - \omega a_6c_1 + \omega b_6c_1) \\ & \qquad\qquad = -\omega(a_4^2 - a_5^2 + a_2b_3 - a_1b_4 - a_6b_6 + a_6c_1 + b_6c_1 - c_2c_3 + \omega a_3^2), \\ & (a_4b_1 - a_3b_2 + b_4^2 - b_5^2 - a_6b_6 - a_6c_1 - b_6c_1 - c_2c_3 + \omega b_3^2) \\ & \qquad\qquad = (a_4^2 - a_5^2 + a_2b_3 - a_1b_4 - a_6b_6 + a_6c_1 + b_6c_1 - c_2c_3 + \omega a_3^2). \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Therefore, the most generic cocommutator is given by (7), namely:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(J) &= a_1 J\wedge P_2 + a_2 J\wedge K_2 + a_3 P_0\wedge P_2 + a_4 P_0\wedge K_2 + (b_5-a_5)(K_1\wedge P_2 - P_1\wedge K_2)\\
&\quad +(a_6+b_6)(K_1\wedge P_1 + P_2\wedge K_2) + b_1 P_1\wedge J + b_2 K_1\wedge J + b_3 P_1\wedge P_0 + b_4 K_1\wedge P_0,\\
\delta(P_0) &= \omega a_1 J\wedge K_1 + a_2 P_1\wedge J + \omega a_3 P_0\wedge K_1 + a_4 P_1\wedge P_0 + (a_6-b_6)(P_2\wedge P_1 + \omega K_1\wedge K_2)\\
&\quad +\omega b_1 J\wedge K_2 + b_2 P_2\wedge J + \omega b_3 P_0\wedge K_2 + b_4 P_2\wedge P_0 + (\omega c_3 - c_2)(K_1\wedge P_2 + P_1\wedge K_2),\\
\delta(P_1) &= (b_6+c_1)(P_0\wedge P_2 + \omega K_1\wedge J) + \omega b_5 K_2\wedge J - (a_2-\omega b_3)(J\wedge P_0 + P_2\wedge K_1)\\
&\quad +\omega c_3 J\wedge P_1 + \omega b_4 K_2\wedge K_1 + \omega a_3 P_1\wedge K_1 + c_2 K_2\wedge P_0 + b_2 K_2\wedge P_2 + a_5 P_0\wedge P_1 + a_1 P_1\wedge P_2,\\
\delta(P_2) &= \omega a_5 J\wedge K_1 + (a_6-c_1)(\omega J\wedge K_2 + P_0\wedge P_1) + (b_2+\omega a_3)(P_0\wedge J + P_1\wedge K_2)\\
&\quad +\omega c_3 J\wedge P_2 + \omega a_4 K_1\wedge K_2 + c_2 P_0\wedge K_1 + a_2 P_1\wedge K_1 + b_5 P_0\wedge P_2 + b_1 P_1\wedge P_2 + \omega b_3 P_2\wedge K_2,\\
\delta(K_1) &= c_2 J\wedge K_1 + (a_1+b_4)(J\wedge P_0 + P_1\wedge K_2) + (a_6+c_1)(J\wedge P_1 + P_0\wedge K_2) + b_5 J\wedge P_2\\
&\quad +a_2 K_1\wedge K_2 + a_5 P_0\wedge K_1 + c_3 P_0\wedge P_2 + a_4 P_1\wedge K_1 + b_3 P_1\wedge P_2 + b_1 P_2\wedge K_2,\\
\delta(K_2) &= c_2 J\wedge K_2 + b_2 K_1\wedge K_2 + a_1 K_1\wedge P_1 + (a_4-b_1)(P_0\wedge J + P_2\wedge K_1) + b_5 P_0\wedge K_2\\
&\quad +(b_6-c_1)(P_0\wedge K_1 + P_2\wedge J) + a_5 P_1\wedge J + c_3 P_1\wedge P_0 + b_4 P_2\wedge K_2 + a_3 P_2\wedge P_1,
\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

where all the parameters appearing in these expressions must satisfy the constraints (14). Obviously, some of the parameters could be shown to be inessential through the appropriate automorphisms, and physical restrictions on the parameters should be also imposed in order to obtain some tractable deformations. Anyhow, these expressions give a clear idea about the size of the zoo of possible quantum deformations of the AdS_ω algebra. Note also that the dual of δ (15) would provide the most generic first-order AdS_ω noncommutative spacetime.

5 Beyond κ-deformation in (2+1) dimensions

The generalizations of the κ-deformation that are contained in the previous characterization of all the quantum AdS_ω deformations can be identified by imposing that, like in (11),

$$\delta(J) = 0, \qquad \delta(P_0) = 0.$$

These conditions imply that the deformation parameters have to fulfil the relations

$$a_i = b_i = 0 \quad (i = 1,2,3,4); \quad a_5 = b_5; \quad a_6 = b_6 = 0; \quad c_2 = \omega c_3.$$

Under these conditions the resultant r-matrix only depends on *three* parameters

$$r = b_5(P_1\wedge K_1 + P_2\wedge K_2) + c_1 J\wedge P_0 + c_3(\omega K_1\wedge K_2 + P_1\wedge P_2), \tag{16}$$

where the κ-AdS_ω Planck length parameter is $b_5 = -z$ (see (10)). These three parameters are free ones, since (16) is already a solution of the mCYBE. Moreover, the Schouten bracket for the r-matrix (16) reads

$$\begin{aligned}
[[r,r]] = -(b_5^2 + \omega c_3^2)(P_1\wedge P_2\wedge P_0 + \omega P_0\wedge K_1\wedge K_2 + \omega P_1\wedge K_1\wedge J + \omega P_2\wedge K_2\wedge J)\\
-2b_5 c_3(P_1\wedge K_1\wedge P_0 + P_1\wedge P_2\wedge J + \omega K_1\wedge K_2\wedge J + P_0\wedge P_2\wedge K_2),
\end{aligned}$$

and this implies that if $\omega \neq 0$, non-standard (twist) deformations are obtained when $b_5 = c_3 = 0$ (*i.e.*, the term $J\wedge P_0$ generates a Reshetikhin twist). In the Poincaré case ($\omega = 0$), the $P_1\wedge P_2$ term provides a second twist.

The full cocommutator that corresponds to the three-parametric r-matrix (16) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(J) &= \delta(P_0) = 0,\\
\delta(P_1) &= \ c_1(P_0 \wedge P_2 + \omega K_1 \wedge J) - z(\omega K_2 \wedge J + P_0 \wedge P_1) + \omega c_3(J \wedge P_1 + K_2 \wedge P_0),\\
\delta(P_2) &= -c_1(\omega J \wedge K_2 + P_0 \wedge P_1) - z(\omega J \wedge K_1 + P_0 \wedge P_2) + \omega c_3(J \wedge P_2 + P_0 \wedge K_1),\\
\delta(K_1) &= \ c_1(J \wedge P_1 + P_0 \wedge K_2) - z(J \wedge P_2 + P_0 \wedge K_1) + c_3(P_0 \wedge P_2 + \omega J \wedge K_1),\\
\delta(K_2) &= -c_1(P_0 \wedge K_1 + P_2 \wedge J) - z(P_0 \wedge K_2 + P_1 \wedge J) + c_3(P_1 \wedge P_0 + \omega J \wedge K_2),
\end{aligned}
$$

which leads to the first-order noncommutative spacetime given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_1] &= -z\hat{x}_1 - c_1\hat{x}_2 - c_3\hat{\xi}_2,\\
[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_2] &= -z\hat{x}_2 + c_1\hat{x}_1 + c_3\hat{\xi}_1,\\
[\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2] &= 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

thus providing a two-parametric generalization of the κ-Minkowski spacetime (12).

To the best of our knowledge, the full quantum AdS$_\omega$ algebra generated by the r-matrix (16) is not known yet and constitutes and interesting open problem. As a first step, the Poisson counterpart of the corresponding all-orders noncommutative spacetimes could be obtained by computing the PL brackets on the AdS$_\omega$ group through the Sklyanin bracket given by (16). Again, the spacetime brackets $\{x_i, x_j\}$ so obtained will be no longer linear when the curvature ω is turned on.

6 The (3+1)-dimensional case

The same Lie bialgebra approach can be applied to study the quantum deformations of the (3+1) AdS$_\omega$ Lie algebras, with generators $\{J_i, P_0, P_i, K_i\}$, $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ and whose commutation rules are

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
[J_i, J_j] = \varepsilon_{ijk} J_k, & [J_i, P_j] = \varepsilon_{ijk} P_k, & [J_i, K_j] = \varepsilon_{ijk} K_k,\\
[P_i, P_j] = -\omega\, \varepsilon_{ijk} J_k, & [P_i, K_j] = -\, \delta_{ij} P_0, & [K_i, K_j] = -\, \varepsilon_{ijk} J_k,\\
[P_0, P_i] = \omega K_i, & [P_0, K_i] = -P_i, & [P_0, J_i] = 0,
\end{array}
\tag{17}
$$

where $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, $\varepsilon_{123} = 1$ and the ω parameter is again the constant sectional curvature of the associated spacetime such that $\omega = -\Lambda$. Therefore, when $\omega > 0$ we have the (3+1) AdS Lie algebra $so(3,2)$, when $\omega < 0$ we have the (3+1) dS Lie algebra $so(4,1)$, and the flat limit $\omega = 0$ gives rise to the (3+1) Poincaré Lie algebra $iso(3,1)$.

The description of the quantum deformations of the Lie algebra (17) starts by considering the most generic r-matrix, $r = r^{ij} Y_i \wedge Y_j$ that in this case would depend on 45 deformation parameters r^{ij} (we recall that again in the (3+1) case all AdS$_\omega$ Lie bialgebras are coboundaries). In particular, if we are interested in generalizations of the κ-deformation for the AdS$_\omega$ algebra, the minimal constraint that we have to impose is that one deformation parameter has to play the role of a Planck length or, equivalently, that the coproduct of the quantum P_0 generator has to be primitive ($\Delta(P_0) = P_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_0$). As we know, this means that we have to impose

$$
\delta(P_0) = [P_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_0, r^{ij} Y_i \wedge Y_j] = 0,
$$

and, as a result, this assumption elliminates 30 of the r^{ij} parameters. If, by following the (2+1) κ-AdS$_\omega$ case, we further impose that J_3 also remains primitive under deformation, we get a five-parametric candidate for the r-matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
r = z_1(K_1 \wedge P_1 + K_2 \wedge P_2) + z_2(P_1 \wedge P_2 + \omega K_1 \wedge K_2) \\
+ z_3 P_0 \wedge J_3 + z_4 K_3 \wedge P_3 + z_5 J_1 \wedge J_2,
\end{aligned}
$$

although now the mCYBE is not automatically fulfilled and leads to the following relations among the quantum deformation parameters:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_1 z_2 = 0, \qquad (z_1 - z_4) z_5 - \omega z_2 z_4 = 0, \\
& z_2 z_5 + z_1(z_1 - z_4) + \omega z_2^2 = 0, \\
& z_2 z_5 - z_4(z_1 - z_4) = 0, \\
& z_5^2 + \omega\,(z_2 z_5 - z_1 z_4) = 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the solution of these equations leads to two disjoint families of two-parametric AdS$_\omega$ Lie bialgebras, that are generated by the two following classical r-matrices [34]:

$$
r_{z_1,z_3} = z_1 \left(K_1 \wedge P_1 + K_2 \wedge P_2 + K_3 \wedge P_3 \pm \sqrt{\omega}\, J_1 \wedge J_2\right) + z_3 P_0 \wedge J_3, \tag{18}
$$

$$
r_{z_2,z_3} = z_2 \left(P_1 \wedge P_2 + \omega K_1 \wedge K_2 - \omega\, J_1 \wedge J_2 \pm \sqrt{\omega} P_3 \wedge K_3\right) + z_3 P_0 \wedge J_3, \tag{19}
$$

whose Schouten brackets are ($l = 1, 2$):

$$
\begin{aligned}
[[r_{z_l,z_3}, r_{z_l,z_3}]] = A_l \left(\omega\, J_1 \wedge J_2 \wedge J_3 - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ijk} \,(\omega J_i \wedge K_j \wedge K_k + J_i \wedge P_j \wedge P_k)\right) \\
+ A_l \sum_{i=1}^{3} K_i \wedge P_i \wedge P_0, \qquad \text{where} \quad A_1 = z_1^2, \quad A_2 = z_2^2 \omega.
\end{aligned}
$$

Some comments on these two r-matrices are pertinent:

- The $r_{z_1,0}$ matrix generates the κ-AdS$_\omega$ deformation in (3+1) dimensions, but the explicit form of its all-orders quantization when $\omega \neq 0$ is still an open problem.
- When $\omega = 0$, the r_{z_1,z_3} matrix generates the twisted κ-deformation of the (3+1) Poincaré algebra whose full expressions were given in [35].
- If we compare the (3+1) r-matrix (18) with the (2+1) r-matrix (16), we realize that the c_3 and the b_5 terms of the latter are not compatible with the κ-deformation in (3+1) dimensions, and can only be simultaneously considered in the deformation of the second type generated by (19). The full quantization of the latter is also unknown yet.

The first-order noncommutative AdS$_\omega$ spaces associated to these two families of Lie bialgebras are deduced from the dual cocommutators, and are given by the following commutation rules involving the (3+1) quantum coordinates $\{\hat{\theta}, \hat{x}_\mu, \hat{\xi}_i\}$ dual to $\{J, P_\mu, K_i\}$ ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$)

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{z_1,z_3} : \quad & [\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_i] = -z_1\, \hat{x}_i + z_3\, \varepsilon_{ij3}\, \hat{x}_j, \qquad [\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = 0. \\
r_{z_2,z_3} : \quad & [\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_I] = -z_2\, \varepsilon_{IJ3}\, \hat{\xi}_J + z_3\, \varepsilon_{IJ3}\, \hat{x}_J, \quad [\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_3] = \pm z_2 \sqrt{\omega}\, \hat{x}_3, \\
& [\hat{x}_I, \hat{x}_3] = z_2 \hat{\theta}_I, \qquad [\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2] = 0, \qquad I, J = 1, 2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the first deformation gives rise to a generalization of the κ-Minkowski space, that is recovered when $z_3 = 0$. Again, no dependence on the curvature ω appears in this first-order quantum group, although a nonlinearity ruled by the cosmological constant is expected when the full quantum group is constructed. On the contrary, the quantum spacetimes coming from the second deformation have a completely different shape: the deformation linked to z_2 introduces the quantum rotation and boost coordinates into the spacetime commutation rules, that are no longer a subalgebra and, moreover, the cosmological constant is already manifest at the first-order of these quantum group relations.

Finally, we would like to recall that one of the main features of the (3+1) κ-Poincaré algebra is the fact that the rotation subalgebra remains undeformed. Therefore, the isotropy of the 'quantum space' is preserved, since the κ-Minkowski spacetime has the same type of commutation rules for the three quantum space coordinates. This seems to be a quite reasonable physical condition and, apparently, the generalization of the κ-Poincaré deformation provided by r_{z_1,z_3} would break this 'quantum isotropy' property. However, we would like to stress that if we consider the following automorphism of the AdS$_\omega$ algebra

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{Y}_1 = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\,Y_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\,Y_3, && Y_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\widetilde{Y}_2 - \widetilde{Y}_3\right),\\
&\widetilde{Y}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,Y_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\,Y_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\,Y_3, && Y_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left(-2\widetilde{Y}_1 + \widetilde{Y}_2 + \widetilde{Y}_3\right),\\
&\widetilde{Y}_3 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,Y_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\,Y_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\,Y_3, && Y_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\widetilde{Y}_1 + \widetilde{Y}_2 + \widetilde{Y}_3\right),\\
&\text{for}\quad Y_i \in \{J_i, P_i, K_i\}, && \widetilde{P}_0 = P_0,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{Y}_i$ denote the transformed generators, and if we take $\tilde{z}_3 = z_3/\sqrt{3}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{z_1,\tilde{z}_3} = z_1 &\left(\tilde{K}_1 \wedge \tilde{P}_1 + \tilde{K}_2 \wedge \tilde{P}_2 + \tilde{K}_3 \wedge \tilde{P}_3 \pm \frac{\sqrt{\omega}}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\tilde{J}_1 \wedge \tilde{J}_2 + \tilde{J}_2 \wedge \tilde{J}_3 + \tilde{J}_3 \wedge \tilde{J}_1\right)\right)\\
&+\tilde{z}_3 \tilde{P}_0 \wedge \left(\tilde{J}_1 + \tilde{J}_2 + \tilde{J}_3\right).
\end{aligned}$$

This means that in this new AdS$_\omega$ basis, the 'broken' isotropy of the r_{z_1,z_3} deformation can be restored, since the role of the three rotation generators is exactly the same. This indicates that some new multiparametric deformations of the (A)dS and Poincaré algebras could be considered as reasonable symmetries on a physical basis.

Summarizing, in this work we have shown that the classification of the quantum deformations of the kinematical symmetries of (2+1) and (3+1) relativistic spacetimes can be approached in a very efficient and computationally tractable way by analyzing the first-order deformation given by the corresponding Lie bialgebra structures. We think that this viewpoint could be useful in the near future in order to explore the role that multiparametric quantum groups with non-vanishing cosmological constant could play in a quantum gravity context.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the Spanish MICINN under grant MTM2010-18556 (with EU-FEDER support).

References

[1] Kulish P P and Reshetikhin N 1981 *Proc. Steklov Math. Inst.* **101** 101

[2] Drinfel'd V G 1987 *Quantum Groups* in *Proc. Int. Congress of Math. (Berkeley 1986)* ed A V Gleason (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society) p 798

[3] Jimbo M 1985 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **10** 63

[4] Takhtajan L A 1990 *Introduction to quantum group and integrable massive models of Quantum Field Theory*, eds Mo-Lin Ge and Bao-Heng Zao (Singapore: World Scientific) p 69

[5] Reshetikhin N Y, Takhtadzhyan L A and Faddeev L D 1990 *Leningrad Math. J.* **1** 193

[6] Chari V and Pressley A 1994 *A Guide to Quantum Groups* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)

[7] Majid S 1995 *Foundations of Quantum Group Theory* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)

[8] Garay L J 1995 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **10** 145

[9] Lukierski J, Nowicki A, Ruegg H and Tolstoy V N 1991 *Phys. Lett. B* **264** 331

[10] Ballesteros A, Herranz F J, del Olmo M A and Santander M 1994 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **27** 1283

[11] Ballesteros A, Herranz F J, del Olmo M A and Santander M 1995 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **28** 941

[12] Amelino-Camelia G 2002 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **11** 35; *ibid* 2002 **11** 1643

[13] Magueijo J and Smolin L 2002 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88** 190403

[14] Kowalski-Glikman J and Nowak S 2002 *Phys. Lett. B* **539** 126; *ibid* 2003 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20** 4799

[15] Freidel L, Kowalski-Glikman J and Smolin L 2004 *Phys. Rev. D* **69** 044001

[16] Amelino-Camelia G 2010 *Symmetry* **2** 230

[17] Podleś P and Woronowicz S L 1990 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **130** 381

[18] Maggiore M 1993 *Phys. Lett. B* **319** 83

[19] Doplicher S, Fredenhagen K and Roberts J E 1995 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **172** 187

[20] Chaichian M, Kulish P P, Nishijima K, Tureanu A 2004 *Phys. Lett. B* **604** 98

[21] Amelino-Camelia G, Smolin L and Starodubtsev A 2004 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **21** 3095

[22] Maslanka P 1993 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **26** L1251

[23] Majid S and Ruegg H 1994 *Phys. Lett. B* **334** 348

[24] Zakrzewski S 1994 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **27** 2075

[25] Alekseev A Y and Malkin A Z 1995 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **169** 99

[26] Fock V V and Rosly A A 1992 Preprint ITEP-72-92; *ibid* 1999 *Am. Math. Soc. Transl.* **191** 67

[27] Meusburger C and Schroers B J 2008 *J. Math. Phys.* **49** 083510

[28] Meusburger C and Schroers B J 2009 *Nucl. Phys. B* **806** 462

[29] Ballesteros A, Herranz F J and Meusburger C 2010 *Phys. Lett. B* **687** 375

[30] Gomez X 2000 *J. Math. Phys.* **41** 4939

[31] Ballesteros A, Blasco A and Musso F 2012 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **45** 175204

[32] Ballesteros A, Gromov N A, Herranz F J, del Olmo M A and Santander M 1995 *J. Math. Phys.* **36** 5916

[33] Ballesteros A, Herranz F J and Bruno N R hep-th/0401244

[34] Ballesteros A, Bruno N R and Herranz F J 2004 *Czech. J. Phys.* **11** 1321

[35] Daszkiewicz M 2008 *Int. J. Mod. Phys A* **23** 4387